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**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** To evaluate the efficacy of Soluneem (a water soluble formulation from the neem seed kernel from Azadirachta Indica containing Azadirachtin) as an antimicrobial agent and the effective concentration of Soluneem required to inhibit periodontopathic bacteria and to compare it with a known antiplaque agent chlorhexidine (0.2%) in vitro.

**Material and Methods:** The subgingival plaque samples from a total of 20 sites from 5 patients (4 sites per patient) was taken with a probing pocket depth of ≥5mm. Subgingival plaque samples were collected, cultivated, and incubated anaerobically as per the standard procedure. Further subculturing was done to obtain pure growth. Various biochemical tests were used for identifying each organism as per the standard protocol. The sensitivity to the varying concentrations of neem like 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125% and 1.5625% and 0.2% Chlorhexidine solution was tested using the Modified Disc diffusion method. The sensitivity was tested by measuring the zones of inhibition comparing with the known standard diameter.

**Results:** The organisms isolated and percentage of identification rate from total of five patients was 60% for *Bacteroids fragilis*, 40% for *Bacteroids distasonis*, 20% for *Prevotella corporis*, 20% for *Prevotella melaninogenica*, and 20% for *Peptostreptococcus* species. Chlorhexidine 0.2% was effective against micro organisms cultivated and isolated in this study. However Soluneem was not effective against the organisms cultivated in this study.

**Conclusion:** Soluneem had no effect on the growth of any of the isolated organisms. Further studies testing soluneem against other putative periodontal pathogens with Azadirachtin are warranted.
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employed in the treatment of infectious
diseases. The undesirable side effect of cer-
tain antibiotics, and the emergence of previ-
ously uncommon infections, has made the
scientists to look for new antimicrobial sub-
stances of alternate sources like plant origin.
Therefore plants represent a potential source
of new anti-infective agents (2). Indians have
used herbal products for oral care for centuries. Most common ones be-
ing extracts of Mango (Mangifera indica),
Neem (Azadirachta indica; Melia azadirachta),
and Tea-dust (Camellia sinensis) (3). The
most widely used herbal product among
them is Neem and its products. The Neem
twig has been used from time immemorial
for cleansing the teeth and the juice extracted
by it's chewing was believed to be beneficial
for toning the gums (4). The widespread use
of the Neem “chewing stick” as an oral hy-
giene device in certain Asian and African
countries has suggested that it may possess
some anti-plaque properties also. Dentists
in areas where it is in use , have noted its
effectiveness in preventing certain plaque-
related dental diseases (1).

Several studies have reported the antibac-
terial effects of chewing sticks on periodontal pathogens particularly Bacteriodes species and inhibitory action on dental plaque formation (1,4,5,6).

The quest for identifying the various chemotherapeutic agents in neem has led to the isolation of more than 135 com-
ounds. The compounds have been di-
vided into two major classes: isoprenoids and others. The isoprenoids includes
azadirachtin (7). Azadirachtin has been found to inhibit enzymatic activity of larvae of Drosophila melanogaster, adult female Aedes aegypti, the larvae of Manduca sexta(8) and black-
headed caterpillar (9). The Neem seed ker-
nel extracts and oil containing azadirachtin
has shown antibacterial activity and activity against dermatophytes (10,11). One such example of a compound containing azadirachtin is Soluneem™. Soluneem is a water soluble formulation from the neem
seed kernel from Azadirachta Indica con-
taining Azadirachtin –A 6%.

Earlier preparations from the Neem seed
kernel were available as emulsified concen-
trates and required many solvents. These
degrade very quickly in the presence of wa-
ter or sunlight. Soluneem is highly stable
even at 110°C, whereas technically Neem
Seed Kernel Extracts (NSKE) is thermola-
bile. The unique advantage of this prepara-
tion is that it is a water soluble formula-
tion which will have, a potentiated action
and it contains the compound azadirachtin
(9).

The insecticidal activity of this product has
been confirmed and it has been suggested
that it may have other applications in the
field of personal care. In the present study
an attempt has been made to explore the
effective concentration of Soluneem re-
quired to inhibit periodontopathic micro-
organisms and to compare Soluneem with
antibacterial efficacy of chlorhexidine which
is considered as the gold standard in peri-
odontics (12).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients diagnosed with chronic periodon-
titis and attending the Department of Peri-
odontics, D.A.P.M.R.V. Dental College,
Bangalore, formed the study group.

Patients were explained about the purpose
of the investigation and a written consent
was taken prior to commencement of the
study. Ethical clearance was obtained by the
ethical committee of the institution.

Commercially available Chlorhexidine with
a concentration of 0.2% (w/v) was selected
for the study to be compared for its efficacy
with Soluneem.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Patient aged 30-55 years with a diagnosis
of chronic periodontitis; free from systemic
disease and who had not undergone any
form of non surgical/surgical periodontal
therapy in the last 6 months were included
in the study.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Patient with a known systemic disorder,
pregnant women, smokers, and patients
who received any topical or systemic anti-
biotic treatment for any purpose in the past
6 months including the use of mouth-
wash were excluded from the study.

STUDY DESIGN

The study was carried out using 20 sub-
gingival plaque samples from patients di-
gnosed with chronic periodontitis. The sub-
gingival plaque samples from periodontal
pockets ≥“5mm were collected. Supragingival
plaque was removed with hand instruments and the subgingival
plaque was collected by inserting a steri-
lized Gracey curette (Area-specific curette)
into the deepest portion of the periodontal
pocket (13). The plaque samples collected were plated immediately onto 5% sheep blood agar, Brucella Blood Agar (BBA) and Kanamycin Vancomycin Laked Blood Agar (KVLBA) using sterile niche wire loop (14). This was immediately transferred into
an anaerobic jar with gas pack system (Fig-
ure-1). The sheep blood agar plates were
incubated aerobically at 37°C for 72 hrs;
BBA and KVLBA were incubated anaero-
bically at 37°C for 72 hours. The gram stain smear from each patient
was examined for the presence of bacteria
and pus cells (15) (Figure-2). Individual
colony morphotypes were subcultured onto Chocolate Agar (aerobic inoculation for aerotolerance) and Brucella Blood Agar enriched with Vitamin-K and Heame for
obtaining pure growth. These were fur-

Figure 1: Anaerobic jar with gas
pack system
ther identified using standard biochemical tests which included: Indole production, -Nitrate reduction, Aesculin hydrolysis, Growth in Bile, Fermentation Tests–Glucose, Sucrose, Malt, lactose, Rhamnose, galactose, Arabinose, Salicin, mannitol, and trehalose. Aerobic bacteria were also identified as a part of the project using standard methods (16,17).

For sensitivity testing 3-4 identical colonies were inoculated into anaerobic basal broth incubated for 4-6 hrs at 37° C. Brucella blood agar plates were inoculated using a sterile cotton swab.

The sensitivity to 0.2% Chlorhexidine and varying concentrations of neem like 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125% and 1.5625% was tested. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hrs anaerobically and the zones of inhibition were recorded and interpreted comparing with the standard. Modified Disc diffusion method on Brucella Blood Agar plates was used.

Preparation of dilutions of Soluneem powder

The dilutions of the Soluneem powder was made by first preparing a saturated solution of the powder in distilled water, that is taken as 100%, and further dilutions were made from the standard saturated solution of 100%.

The organisms were found sensitive to Chlorhexidine 0.2% if the diameter of zone of inhibition was ≥” 6.1 mm (18). The standard strain ATCC Bacteroides fragilis was included for biochemical reactions and sensitivity testing (14).

RESULTS

Dental plaque inoculated from the samples gave a confluent growth with varied colonies; few black pigmented colonies on Kanamycin Vancomycin laked Blood agar were also seen. The anaerobes identified were- Prevotella corporis, Prevotella melaninogenica, Bacteroids distasonis, Bacteroids fragilis, and Peptostreptococcus species.

The percentage of identification rate from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: BIOCHEMICAL TESTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 1.1: Biochemical tests of Prevotella corporis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) → Positive and (-) → Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prevotella Corporis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Table 1.2: Biochemical tests of Prevotella melaninogenicas** |
| (+) → Positive and (-) → Negative |
| **Prevotella Melaninogenica** |
| Indole | Nitrate | Bile Broth | Glucose | Sucrose | Malt |
| n | n | Resistant | + | + | + |
| Lactose | Arabinose | Rhamnose | Trehalose | Salicin | Esclulin |
| + | n | + | n | + |

| **Table 1.3: Biochemical tests of Bacteroids distasonis** |
| (+) → Positive and (-) → Negative |
| **Bacteroids Distasonis** |
| Indole | Nitrate | Bile Broth | Glucose | Sucrose | Malt |
| n | n | Sensitive | + | + | + |
| Lactose | Arabinose | Rhamnose | Trehalose | Salicin | Esclulin |
| + | n | n | + | + |

| **Table 1.4: Biochemical tests of Bacteroids fragilis** |
| (+) → Positive and (-) → Negative |
| **Bacteroids Fragilis** |
| Indole | Nitrate | Bile Broth | Glucose | Sucrose | Malt |
| n | n | Sensitive | + | + | + |
| Lactose | Arabinose | Rhamnose | Trehalose | Salicin | Esclulin |
| + | n | + | n | + |

| **Table 1.5: Biochemical tests of Peptostreptococcus** |
| (+) → Positive and (-) → Negative |
| **Peptostreptococcus Species** |
| Indole | Nitrate | Bile Broth | Glucose | Sucrose | Malt |
| n | n | Resistant | + | + | + |
| Lactose | Arabinose | Rhamnose | Trehalose | Salicin | Esclulin |
| + | n | n | + | + |
Table 2: Microbial sensitivity pattern with Soluneem and chlorhexidine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Neem 100%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>12.50%</th>
<th>6.25%</th>
<th>3.13%</th>
<th>1.56%</th>
<th>Chlorhexidine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pt1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevotella melaninogenica</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides distasonis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pt2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides fragilis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides distasonis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pt3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptostreptococci species</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pt4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides fragilis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pt5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevotella corporis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides fragilis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides fragilis ATCC (R-Resistant, S-Sensitive)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The local application of antimicrobial agents suppresses periodontal pathogens and increases the clinical and microbial benefits of mechanical debridement. Topical treatment with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate has been found to be particularly effective for the control of supragingival plaque to cause positive clinical and microbiologic periodontal changes (19). The undesirable side effect of certain antibiotics, and the emergence of previously uncommon infections, has forced scientists to look for new antimicrobial substances from various sources, such as medicinal plants (4).

In this study, subgingival plaque from a total of 20 sites from 5 patients (4 sites per patient) was taken. Four sites from each patient was taken, since it has been shown that four individual subgingival specimens, each from the deepest periodontal pocket in each quadrant, should be pooled to be able to detect highest amount of periodontal pathogens (20). The same number of sites were also taken in a study by Wennstrom et al (21).

The predominant isolate in this study was of Bacteroides species - Bacteroides fragilis. This was in accordance with study by Dzink and Tanner et al., wherein Bacteroides spe-
cies were detected more often in active than in inactive sites (22). Another study by Ali R.W et al. has found a significant correlation between the presence of these species and pocket depth. Hence plaque from deeper samples will contain larger quantity of Bacteroides species (23).

The finding of the study was in contrast to other studies where 64% of active sites, 35.7% of inactive sites and 38.5% of healthy sites yielded black-pigmented anaerobes (P. gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia, Prevotella nigrescens) (24,25). There is also moderate evidence to consider Peptostreptococcus micros as a putative periopathogen (26). This organism was also isolated in 20% of the samples.

In advanced adult periodontitis, the cultivable flora is comprised mainly of gram-negative anaerobic rods (75%). P. gingivalis, P. melaninogenicus subspecies intermedius and Fusobacterium nucleatum are the most prominent isolates from advanced lesions (27). Prevotella melaninogenica was also identified in our study.

Another interesting incidental observation is the presence of Prevotella corporis in the samples. Prevotella corporis is normally associated with endodontic flora (28). There are very few reports on its presence in periodontal pocket, one study reported its presence in few samples (29).

Another observation in this study was the finding of the study was in contrast to other studies where 64% of active sites, 35.7% of inactive sites and 38.5% of healthy sites yielded black-pigmented anaerobes (P. gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia, Prevotella nigrescens) (24,25). There is also moderate evidence to consider Peptostreptococcus micros as a putative periopathogen (26). This organism was also isolated in 20% of the samples.

In advanced adult periodontitis, the cultivable flora is comprised mainly of gram-negative anaerobic rods (75%). P. gingivalis, P. melaninogenica subspecies intermedius and Fusobacterium nucleatum are the most prominent isolates from advanced lesions (27). Prevotella melaninogenica was also identified in our study.

Another interesting incidental observation is the presence of Prevotella corporis in the samples. Prevotella corporis is normally associated with endodontic flora (28). There are very few reports on its presence in periodontal pocket, one study reported its presence in few samples (29).

The reason for the isolation of the limited species of organisms could have been due to identification criteria differing among laboratories. Even with rigorous testing, identification of some species is questionable. With minimal testing it is even less reliable (30). Another problem could be of keeping periodontopathogenic bacteria viable, which is required for standard cultivation. This may explain the inability to obtain other known periopathogens in subcultures (31).

The organisms were found to be sensitive to 0.2% chlorhexidine. This is in agreement with various other studies where chlorhexidine 0.2% had brought down the microbial counts (13,28,32).

All isolated organisms were found resistant to neem formulation. In another study the authors tested for the antimicrobial effect of the aqueous extract of seven different types of chewing sticks. The study also included the extracts of azadirachta indica (neem). Their result showed no antimicrobial effect of neem even up to 50% concentration (6). However these studies were based on the extracts of chewing sticks and the present study used the neem seed kernel extract containing Azadirachtin 6%.

It has been reported in a study that the components from neem leaf and seeds assayed did not show anti-adherence activity of bacteria although the extract from neem bark did exhibit the property against bacterial adherence (1).

Despite the observation that the formulation under study had no antibacterial effect on microorganisms cultivated in this study, the use of neem for oral home care cannot be completely rejected, for the fact remains that neem products in different forms have been effective as antiplaque and anti-gingivitis agents (1,4).

The various reasons for the Soluneem exhibiting no antimicrobial activity could be that there may be other unidentified components in neem products that are effective and yet to be identified. The DNA of periodontal pathogens may not be susceptible. Other components from neem plant may be effective as antiplaque and anti-gingivitis agents or have inhibitory actions on microbes as reported in literature. It also could be that more than one ingredients is required for their action against periodontal pathogens (they may have synergistic effects altogether). Also sensitivity tests measure antimicrobial activity against bacteria under laboratory conditions (in vitro activity), not in the patient (in vivo activity) (33).

REFERENCES
16. Collie JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP,
AN IN VITRO MICROBIOLOGICAL STUDY EVALUATING THE EFFICACY OF SOLUNEEM


